Abraham Lincoln University

Title IX Non-Discrimination & Grievance Process Policy

Effective Date: 08.01.24

Version 1.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	Introduction	3
II.	Overview of Title IX Policy and Grievance Process: General Provisions	3
	Responsibilities of the Title IX Coordinator	3
	How to Make a Complaint of an Alleged Title IX Violation	4
	Notice of Allegations	6
	Investigation	6
	Questioning the Parties and Witnesses	7
	Determination Whether Sex Discrimination Occurred	8
	Appeal of Determinations	8
	Supportive Measures	9
	Sanctions, Disciplinary Action & Remedies	10
	Emergency Removal of Respondent	11
	Administrative Leave of Employee Respondent	11
	Complainant's Decision to Pursue a Complaint	11
	Dismissal of a Complaint	12
III.	Grievance Procedures for Complaints of Sex-Based Harassment (§ 106.46)	13
	Complaints	13
	Written Notice of Allegations	15
	Dismissal of a Complaint	16
	Investigation	17
	Questioning the Parties and Witnesses	18
	Determination Whether Sex-Based Harassment Occurred	19
	Appeals	20
	Supportive Measures:	20

	Disciplinary Sanctions and Remedies:	. 21
	Consolidated Complaints	. 22
	Time Limits on Reporting	. 22
	Anonymous Report or Complaint	. 22
	Confidentiality	. 23
	Right to an Advisor	. 23
	Conflict-of-Interest & Bias	. 23
	Resolution Timeline	. 23
	Method of Notifications to Parties	. 24
	Clery Act Reporting	. 24
	Retaliation	. 24
	The University's Mandatory Obligation to Report	. 24
	Notice, Dissemination and Publication of Policy	. 25
	Jurisdiction of the University	. 25
	Scope of the University's Educational Program and Activities	. 25
	Concurrent Law Enforcement Investigation or Criminal Proceedings	. 25
	False Allegations and Evidence	. 25
	Failure to Comply with Disciplinary Sanctions or other Remedies	. 26
	Record Retention	. 26
	Revision of this Policy	. 26
IV.	Appendix A: Definitions	. 28

Abraham Lincoln University

Title IX Non-Discrimination & Grievance Process Policy

I. Introduction

Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972 prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in any education program or activity operated by a recipient that receives federal financial assistance. As an educational institution subject to Title IX, Abraham Lincoln University (ALU)¹ has adopted this Title IX Non-Discrimination & Grievance Process Policy (the "Policy").² As set forth in detail herein, Abraham Lincoln University

- Does not discriminate on the basis of sex, including in admissions and employment, and is committed to providing an educational and workplace environment that is free from sex-based discrimination, harassment, and retaliation;
- Prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in its educational programs and activities, as required by law;
- Is committed to promoting fairness and equity in all aspects its operations; and
- Values and promotes the equal dignity of all community members and is committed to the pursuit of just resolutions with respect the rights of all parties involved.

This Policy is adopted to prevent discrimination prohibited under Title IX and provide a prompt, fair, and impartial process to address complaints of alleged discrimination based on sex.

Inquiries about this Policy or the application of Title IX may be referred to ALU's Title IX Coordinator, the Assistant Secretary of the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights, or both.

II. Overview of Title IX Policy and Grievance Process: General Provisions

Responsibilities of the Title IX Coordinator

The Title IX Coordinator oversees implementation and enforcement of this Policy, which includes primary responsibility for coordinating the university's efforts related to the intake, investigation, resolution, and implementation of supportive measures to stop, remediate, and prevent discrimination, harassment, and retaliation prohibited under this policy.

¹ Abraham Lincoln University (ALU) may be referred to interchangeably as the "university" throughout this Policy.

² All capitalized terms not defined within this Policy are defined in Appendix A.

ALU's Title IX Coordinator is identified below and may be contacted with questions about this Policy, to file a report or complaint (oral or written), or to otherwise assist individuals in ensuring equal access to the university's educational programs or activities in compliance with Title IX.

Title IX Coordinator: Bernadette Agaton

Address: 2000 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 2000, Office 12, Glendale, CA 91203

Tel.: (213) 252-5100, extension 208 Email: <u>titleixcoordinator@alu.edu</u>

Web: www.alu.edu

Individuals may also contact the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights with Title IX questions. (*Please note that inquiries to OCR alone are not sufficient to allow appropriate responsive action by ALU. To ensure your concern is appropriately addressed under this Policy, please file a report with ALU's Title IX Coordinator*).

Office for Civil Rights (OCR) U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20202-1100

Customer Service Hotline #: (800) 421-3481

Facsimile: (202) 453-6012 TDD#: (877) 521-2172 Email: OCR@ed.gov

Web: http://www.ed.gov/ocr

How to Make a Complaint of an Alleged Title IX Violation

The following people have a right to make a complaint of sex discrimination, including complaints of sex-based harassment, requesting that Abraham Lincoln University investigate and make a determination about alleged discrimination under Title IX:

- A "complainant," which includes:
 - a student or employee of Abraham Lincoln University who is alleged to have been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX;
 - o a person other than a student or employee of Abraham Lincoln University who is alleged to have been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX at a time when that individual was participating or attempting to participate in Abraham Lincoln University's education program or activity;
- A parent, guardian, or other authorized legal representative with the legal right to act on behalf of a complainant; or
- Abraham Lincoln University's Title IX Coordinator.

Note that a person is entitled to make a complaint of sex-based harassment only if they themselves are alleged to have been subjected to the sex-based harassment, if they have a legal

right to act on behalf of such person, or if the Title IX Coordinator initiates a complaint consistent with the requirements of 34 C.F.R. § 106.44(f)(1)(v).

With respect to complaints of sex discrimination other than sex-based harassment, in addition to the people listed above, the following persons have a right to make a complaint:

- Any student or employee Abraham Lincoln University; or
- Any person other than a student or employee who was participating or attempting to participate in Abraham Lincoln University's education program or activity at the time of the alleged sex discrimination.

Abraham Lincoln University may consolidate complaints of sex discrimination against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against another party, when the allegations of sex discrimination arise out of the same facts or circumstances. When more than one complainant or more than one respondent is involved, references below to a party, complainant, or respondent include the plural, as applicable.

Abraham Lincoln University will treat complainants and respondents equitably.

Abraham Lincoln University requires that any Title IX Coordinator, investigator, or decisionmaker not have a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual complainant or respondent. A decisionmaker may be the same person as the Title IX Coordinator or investigator.

Abraham Lincoln University presumes that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged sex discrimination until a determination is made at the conclusion of its grievance procedures.

Abraham Lincoln University will take reasonable steps to protect the privacy of the parties and witnesses during its grievance procedures. These steps will not restrict the ability of the parties to obtain and present evidence, including by speaking to witnesses; consult with their family members, confidential resources, or advisors; or otherwise prepare for or participate in the grievance procedures. The parties cannot engage in retaliation, including against witnesses.

Abraham Lincoln University will objectively evaluate all evidence that is relevant and not otherwise impermissible—including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. Credibility determinations will not be based on a person's status as a complainant, respondent, or witness.

The following types of evidence, and questions seeking that evidence, are impermissible (*i.e.*, will not be accessed or considered, except by Abraham Lincoln University to determine whether

one of the exceptions listed below applies; will not be disclosed; and will not otherwise be used), regardless of whether they are relevant:

- Evidence that is protected under a privilege recognized by Federal or State law or evidence provided to a confidential employee, unless the person to whom the privilege or confidentiality is owed has voluntarily waived the privilege or confidentiality;
- A party's or witness's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional in connection with the provision of treatment to the party or witness, unless Abraham Lincoln University obtains that party's or witness's voluntary, written consent for use in its grievance procedures; and
- Evidence that relates to the complainant's sexual interests or prior sexual conduct, unless evidence about the complainant's prior sexual conduct is offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the alleged conduct or is evidence about specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual conduct with the respondent that is offered to prove consent to the alleged sex-based harassment. The fact of prior consensual sexual conduct between the complainant and respondent does not by itself demonstrate or imply the complainant's consent to the alleged sex-based harassment or preclude determination that sex-based harassment occurred.

Notice of Allegations

Upon initiation of Abraham Lincoln University's Title IX grievance procedures, Abraham Lincoln University will notify the parties of the following:

- Abraham Lincoln University's Title IX grievance procedures and any informal resolution process;
- Sufficient information available at the time to allow the parties to respond to the allegations, including the identities of the parties involved in the incident(s), the conduct alleged to constitute sex discrimination, and the date(s) and location(s) of the alleged incident(s);
- Retaliation is prohibited; and
- The parties are entitled to an equal opportunity to access the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence or an accurate description of this evidence. [If Abraham Lincoln University provides a description of the evidence: The parties are entitled to an equal opportunity to access the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence upon the request of any party.]

If, in the course of an investigation, Abraham Lincoln University decides to investigate additional allegations of sex discrimination by the respondent toward the complainant that are not included in the notice provided or that are included in a complaint that is consolidated, Abraham Lincoln University will notify the parties of the additional allegations.

Investigation

The investigation will be conducted within a reasonably prompt timeframe, allowing for thorough collection and evaluation of all evidence related to the allegations. The investigator will keep the parties informed as to estimated timelines, and any delays.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide for adequate, reliable, and impartial investigation of complaints. The burden is on Abraham Lincoln University—not on the parties—to conduct an investigation that gathers sufficient evidence to determine whether sex discrimination occurred.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present fact witnesses and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence that are relevant and not otherwise impermissible.

Abraham Lincoln University will review all evidence gathered through the investigation and determine what evidence is relevant and what evidence is impermissible regardless of relevance.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide each party with an equal opportunity to access the evidence that is relevant to the allegations of sex discrimination and not otherwise impermissible, in the following manner:

- Abraham Lincoln University will provide an equal opportunity to access either the
 relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence, or an accurate description of this
 evidence. [If Abraham Lincoln University provides a description of the evidence:
 Abraham Lincoln University will provide the parties with an equal opportunity to access
 the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence upon the request of any party.];
- Abraham Lincoln University will provide a reasonable opportunity to respond to the evidence or the accurate description of the evidence; and
- Abraham Lincoln University will take reasonable steps to prevent and address the parties'
 unauthorized disclosure of information and evidence obtained solely through the
 grievance procedures. Disclosures of such information and evidence for purposes of
 administrative proceedings or litigation related to the complaint of sex discrimination are
 authorized.

Questioning the Parties and Witnesses

Abraham Lincoln University will provide a process that enables the decisionmaker to question parties and witnesses to adequately assess a party's or witness's credibility to the extent credibility is both in dispute and relevant to evaluating one or more allegations of sex discrimination.

Determination Whether Sex Discrimination Occurred

Following an investigation and evaluation of all relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence, Abraham Lincoln University will:

- Use the preponderance of evidence standard of proof to determine whether sex discrimination occurred. The standard of proof requires the decisionmaker to evaluate relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence for its persuasiveness. If the decisionmaker is not persuaded under the applicable standard by the evidence that sex discrimination occurred, whatever the quantity of the evidence is, the decisionmaker will not determine that sex discrimination occurred.
- Notify the parties in writing of the determination whether sex discrimination occurred under Title IX including the rationale for such determination, and the procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal, if applicable;
- Not impose discipline on a respondent for sex discrimination prohibited by Title IX unless there is a determination at the conclusion of the grievance procedures that the respondent engaged in prohibited sex discrimination.
- If there is a determination that sex discrimination occurred, the Title IX Coordinator will, as appropriate:
 - Coordinate the provision and implementation of remedies to a complainant and other people Abraham Lincoln University identifies as having had equal access to the university's education program or activity limited or denied by sex discrimination;
 - Coordinate the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions on a respondent, including notification to the complainant of any such disciplinary sanctions; and
 - Take other appropriate prompt and effective steps to ensure that sex discrimination does not continue or recur within the university's education program or activity.
- Comply with the grievance procedures before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions against a respondent; and
- Not discipline a party, witness, or others participating in the grievance procedures for making a false statement or for engaging in consensual sexual conduct based solely on the determination whether sex discrimination occurred.

Appeal of Determinations

Abraham Lincoln University offers the following process for appeals from a determination whether sex discrimination occurred. This appeal process will be, at a minimum, the same as Abraham Lincoln University offers in all other comparable proceedings, including proceedings relating to other discrimination complaints.

Any party may file an Appeal on the following grounds. Appeals must be submitted in writing to the Title IX Coordinator within five (5) business days of the delivery of the notice of

determination of whether sex discrimination occurred. After expiration of the appeal window, the determination will be considered final, and any applicable sanctions will be imposed.

Grounds for Appeal

Appeals may be made on the following bases:

- 1. Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter;
- 2. New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
- 3. The Title IX Coordinator, Investigator(s), or Decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the specific Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

Any sanctions imposed as a result of the final determination are stayed during the appeal process.

Title IX personnel with prior involvement in the matter will not be permitted to serve as a Decision-maker in the appeal. Appeals will not include a hearing. A decision on the merits will be based on information provided in the appeal document. If an appeal is based on the availability of new evidence, this evidence must be described with specificity and must be available for review within a reasonably prompt timeframe for consideration.

The appeal outcome will specify the finding on each ground for appeal, any specific instructions for remand or reconsideration, and the rationale supporting the findings. Appeal decisions will be made within [seven (7)] business days, barring exigent circumstances, including the review of new evidence not immediately available for submission with the appeal request. Decisions on appeal will be made by applying the preponderance of the evidence standard.

Notification of the appeal outcome will be made in writing and will be delivered simultaneously to the parties by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official university records, or emailed to the parties' ALU-issued email or otherwise approved account. Once mailed, emailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered. Decisions on appeal are considered final.

Supportive Measures

Abraham Lincoln University will offer and coordinate supportive measures as appropriate for the complainant and/or respondent to restore or preserve that person's access to the university's education program or activity or provide support during the university's Title IX grievance procedures. For complaints of sex-based harassment, these supportive measures may include, but are not limited to, counseling, extensions of deadlines or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, referral to medical or healthcare services, referral to

community-based services, mutual restrictions on contact between the parties, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus, and other similar measures.

Sanctions, Disciplinary Action & Remedies

Following a determination that sex-based harassment occurred, Abraham Lincoln University may impose disciplinary sanctions.

ALU may consider a number of factors when determining a sanction and/or remedy and will focus primarily on actions aimed at ending, and preventing the recurrence of, discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation and the need to remedy the effects its effects on the Complainant and campus community. Sanctions will be imposed upon either expiration of the appeal window, or after a final determination on any appeal filed.

Sanctions or actions may include, but are not limited to, those listed below. These may be applied individually or in combination and ALU may assign sanctions not listed, as deemed appropriate.

Warning: A formal statement that the conduct was unacceptable and a warning that further violation of any ALU policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.

Required Counseling: A mandate to meet with and engage in either ALU-sponsored or external counseling to better comprehend the misconduct and its effects.

Probation: A written reprimand for violation of ALU policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in violation of any university policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from co-curricular activities, exclusion from designated areas of campus, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.

Suspension: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure as a student at ALU.

Expulsion: Permanent termination of student status and revocation of rights to be on premises for any reason or to attend ALU-sponsored events.

Withholding Diploma: The university may withhold a student's diploma for a specified period of time and/or deny a student participation in commencement activities if the student has an allegation pending or as a sanction if the student is found responsible for an alleged violation.

Sanctions or actions against Employees may include, but are not limited to, those listed below. These may be applied individually or in combination and ALU may assign sanctions not listed, as deemed appropriate.

Warning – Verbal or Written
Performance Improvement/Management Process
Required Counseling
Required Training or Education
Probation
Loss of Annual Pay Increase
Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility
Demotion
Suspension with pay

Suspension with pay
Suspension without pay

Termination

Other Actions: In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, the university may impose any other sanction as deemed appropriate.

Emergency Removal of Respondent

ALU reserves the right to remove a Respondent from its education program or activities on an emergency basis when the Respondent poses an imminent and serious threat to the health or safety of the complainant, or any student or campus community member, or other persons arising from the allegations. The university will implement the least restrictive emergency actions possible in light of the circumstances and safety concerns. If an emergency removal is imposed, the Respondent will be given notice of the removal and the option to meet with the Title IX Coordinator prior to such action/removal being imposed, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, to show cause why the removal should not be implemented. Emergency removal decisions are not subject to Appeal.

Administrative Leave of Employee Respondent

Respondents that are ALU employees may be placed on administrative leave during the pendency of a grievance process as determined by ALU and the Title IX Coordinator.

Complainant's Decision to Pursue a Complaint

If a Complainant does not wish to be identified, does not wish for an investigation to take place, or does not want a Complaint to be pursued, the Complainant may make such a request to the Title IX Coordinator, who will evaluate the request in light of the duty to ensure campus safety and compliance with state and federal law. The university will comply with Complainant's wishes unless the Coordinator determines that initiating a Complaint is warranted under the circumstances.

If the Coordinator independently initiates a Complaint, the Complainant may have as much or as little involvement in the process as the Complainant desires. The Complainant retains all rights of a Complainant under this Policy irrespective of participation level. Irrespective of whether a Complaint is filed, ALU shall offer supportive measures to ensure Complainant's continued access to the university's educational program and activities. If the Complainant elects not to file a Complaint, the Complaint shall retain the right to file a Complaint later.

Dismissal of a Complaint

Abraham Lincoln University may dismiss a complaint of sex discrimination if:

- Abraham Lincoln University is unable to identify the respondent after taking reasonable steps to do so;
- The respondent is not participating in the university's education program or activity and is not employed by Abraham Lincoln University;
- The complainant voluntarily withdraws any or all of the allegations in the complaint, the Title IX Coordinator declines to initiate a complaint, and Abraham Lincoln University determines that, without the complainant's withdrawn allegations, the conduct that remains alleged in the complaint, if any, would not constitute sex discrimination under Title IX even if proven; or
- Abraham Lincoln University determines the conduct alleged in the complaint, even if proven, would not constitute sex discrimination under Title IX. Before dismissing the complaint, Abraham Lincoln University will make reasonable efforts to clarify the allegations with the complainant.

Upon dismissal, Abraham Lincoln University will promptly notify the complainant of the basis for the dismissal. If the dismissal occurs after the respondent has been notified of the allegations, then Abraham Lincoln University will also notify the respondent of the dismissal and the basis for the dismissal promptly following notification to the complainant, or simultaneously if notification is in writing.

Abraham Lincoln University will notify the complainant that a dismissal may be appealed and will provide the complainant with an opportunity to appeal the dismissal of a complaint. If the dismissal occurs after the respondent has been notified of the allegations, then Abraham Lincoln University will also notify the respondent that the dismissal may be appealed. Dismissals may be appealed on the following bases:

- Procedural irregularity that would change the outcome;
- New evidence that would change the outcome and that was not reasonably available when the dismissal was made; and
- The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, or decisionmaker had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individual complainant or respondent that would change the outcome.

If the dismissal is appealed, Abraham Lincoln University will:

- Notify the parties of any appeal, including notice of the allegations, if notice was not previously provided to the respondent;
- Implement appeal procedures equally for the parties;
- Ensure that the decisionmaker for the appeal did not take part in an investigation of the allegations or dismissal of the complaint;
- Ensure that the decisionmaker for the appeal has been trained consistent with the Title IX regulations;
- Provide the parties a reasonable and equal opportunity to make a statement in support of, or challenging, the outcome; and
- Notify the parties of the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result.

When a complaint is dismissed, Abraham Lincoln University will, at a minimum:

- Offer supportive measures to the complainant as appropriate;
- If the respondent has been notified of the allegations, offer supportive measures to the respondent as appropriate; and
- Take other prompt and effective steps, as appropriate, through the Title IX Coordinator to ensure that sex discrimination does not continue or recur within the university's education program or activity.

III. Grievance Procedures for Complaints of Sex-Based Harassment (§ 106.46)

Abraham Lincoln University has adopted Title IX grievance procedures that provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints made by students, employees, or other individuals who are participating or attempting to participate in its education program or activity, or by the Title IX Coordinator. These grievance procedures address complaints of sex-based harassment that involve a student party.

Complaints

The following people have a right to make a complaint of sex-based harassment, requesting that Abraham Lincoln University investigate and make a determination about alleged sex-based harassment under Title IX:

- A "complainant," which includes:
- o a student or employee of Abraham Lincoln University who is alleged to have been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX; or
- o a person other than a student or employee of Abraham Lincoln University who is alleged to have

been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX at a time when that individual was participating or attempting to participate in The university's education program or activity;

- A parent, guardian, or other authorized legal representative with the legal right to act on behalf of a complainant; or
- The university's Title IX Coordinator.

Note that a person is entitled to make a complaint of sex-based harassment only if they themselves are alleged to have been subjected to the sex-based harassment, if they have a legal right to act on behalf of such person, or if the Title IX Coordinator initiates a complaint consistent with the requirements of 34 C.F.R. § 106.44(f)(1)(v).

Abraham Lincoln University may consolidate complaints of sex discrimination against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against another party, when the allegations of sex discrimination arise out of the same facts or circumstances. When more than one complainant or more than one respondent is involved, references below to a party, complainant, or respondent include the plural, as applicable.

Abraham Lincoln University will treat complainants and respondents equitably.

Abraham Lincoln University requires that any Title IX Coordinator, investigator, or decisionmaker not have a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual complainant or respondent. As long as there is no conflict of interest or bias, a decisionmaker may be the same person as the Title IX Coordinator or investigator.

Abraham Lincoln University presumes that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged sex discrimination until a determination is made at the conclusion of its grievance procedures.

Abraham Lincoln University will take reasonable steps to protect the privacy of the parties and witnesses during its grievance procedures. These steps will not restrict the ability of the parties to obtain and present evidence, including by speaking to witnesses; consult with their family members, confidential resources, or advisors; or otherwise prepare for or participate in the grievance procedures. The parties cannot engage in retaliation, including against witnesses.

Abraham Lincoln University will objectively evaluate all evidence that is relevant and not otherwise impermissible—including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. Credibility determinations will not be based on a person's status as a complainant, respondent, or witness.

The following types of evidence, and questions seeking that evidence, are impermissible (*i.e.*, will not be accessed or considered, except by Abraham Lincoln University to determine whether one of the exceptions listed below applies; will not be disclosed; and will not otherwise be used), regardless of whether they are relevant:

- Evidence that is protected under a privilege recognized by Federal or State law or evidence provided to a confidential employee, unless the person to whom the privilege or confidentiality is owed has voluntarily waived the privilege or confidentiality;
- A party's or witness's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional in connection with the provision of treatment to the party or witness, unless Abraham Lincoln University obtains that party's or witness's voluntary, written consent for use in its grievance procedures; and
- Evidence that relates to the complainant's sexual interests or prior sexual conduct, unless evidence about the complainant's prior sexual conduct is offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the alleged conduct or is evidence about specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual conduct with the respondent that is offered to prove consent to the alleged sex-based harassment. The fact of prior consensual sexual conduct between the complainant and respondent does not by itself demonstrate or imply the complainant's consent to the alleged sex-based harassment or preclude determination that sex-based harassment occurred.

Written Notice of Allegations

Upon initiation of these Title IX grievance procedures, Abraham Lincoln University will notify the parties in writing of the following with sufficient time for the parties to prepare a response before any initial interview:

- The university's Title IX grievance procedures and any informal resolution process;
- Sufficient information available at the time to allow the parties to respond to the allegations, including the identities of the parties involved in the incident(s), the conduct alleged to constitute sex-based harassment, and the date(s) and location(s) of the alleged incident(s);
- Retaliation is prohibited;
- The respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged sex-based harassment until a determination is made at the conclusion of the grievance procedures. Prior to such a determination, the parties will have an opportunity to present relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence to a trained, impartial decisionmaker;
- The parties may have an advisor of their choice who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney;
- The parties are entitled to an equal opportunity to access the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence or an investigative report that accurately summarizes this evidence. [If Abraham Lincoln University provides access to an investigative report: The

parties are entitled to an equal opportunity to access the relevant and not impermissible evidence upon the request of any party]

If, in the course of an investigation, Abraham Lincoln University decides to investigate additional allegations of sex-based harassment by the respondent toward the complainant that are not included in the written notice or that are included in a consolidated complaint, it will provide written notice of the additional allegations to the parties.

Dismissal of a Complaint

Abraham Lincoln University may dismiss a complaint if:

- Abraham Lincoln University is unable to identify the respondent after taking reasonable steps to do so;
- The respondent is not participating in The university's education program or activity and is not employed by Abraham Lincoln University;
- Abraham Lincoln University obtains the complainant's voluntary withdrawal in writing
 of any or all of the allegations, the Title IX Coordinator declines to initiate a complaint,
 and Abraham Lincoln University determines that, without the complainant's withdrawn
 allegations, the conduct that remains alleged in the complaint, if any, would not constitute
 sex discrimination under Title IX even if proven; or
- Abraham Lincoln University determines the conduct alleged in the complaint, even if
 proven, would not constitute sex discrimination under Title IX. Before dismissing the
 complaint, Abraham Lincoln University will make reasonable efforts to clarify the
 allegations with the complainant.

Upon dismissal, Abraham Lincoln University will promptly notify the complainant in writing of the basis for the dismissal. If the dismissal occurs after the respondent has been notified of the allegations, then Abraham Lincoln University will notify the parties simultaneously in writing.

Abraham Lincoln University will notify the complainant that a dismissal may be appealed on the bases outlined in the *Appeals* section. If dismissal occurs after the respondent has been notified of the allegations, then Abraham Lincoln University will also notify the respondent that the dismissal may be appealed on the same bases. If a dismissal is appealed, Abraham Lincoln University will follow the procedures outlined in the *Appeals* section.

When a complaint is dismissed, Abraham Lincoln University will, at a minimum:

- Offer supportive measures to the complainant as appropriate;
- If the respondent has been notified of the allegations, offer supportive measures to the respondent as appropriate; and

• Take other prompt and effective steps, as appropriate, through the Title IX Coordinator to ensure that sex discrimination does not continue or recur within The university's education program or activity.

Investigation

Abraham Lincoln University will provide for adequate, reliable, and impartial investigation of complaints.

The burden is on Abraham Lincoln University—not on the parties—to conduct an investigation that gathers sufficient evidence to determine whether sex discrimination occurred.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide to a party whose participation is invited or expected, written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all meetings or proceedings with sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide the parties with the same opportunities to be accompanied to any meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney.

- Abraham Lincoln University will not limit the choice or presence of the advisor for the complainant or respondent in any meeting or proceeding.
- Abraham Lincoln University may establish restrictions regarding the extent to which the advisor may participate in these grievance procedures, as long as the restrictions apply equally to the parties.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide the parties with the same opportunities, if any, to have people other than the advisor of the parties' choice present during any meeting or proceeding.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present fact witnesses and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence that are relevant and not otherwise impermissible.

Abraham Lincoln University will review all evidence gathered through the investigation and determine what evidence is relevant and what evidence is impermissible regardless of relevance.

Abraham Lincoln University will provide each party and the party's advisor, if any, with an equal opportunity to access the evidence that is relevant to the allegations of sex-based harassment and not otherwise impermissible, in the following manner:

Abraham Lincoln University will provide an equal opportunity to access either the
relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence, or the same written investigative
report that accurately summarizes this evidence. [If Abraham Lincoln University

- provides access to an investigative report: Abraham Lincoln University will further provide the parties with an equal opportunity to access the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence upon the request of any party.];
- Abraham Lincoln University will provide a reasonable opportunity to review and
 respond to the evidence or the investigative report. If Abraham Lincoln University
 conducts a live hearing as part of its grievance procedures, it will provide this
 opportunity to review the evidence in advance of the live hearing. [Abraham Lincoln
 University may decide whether to provide this opportunity to respond prior to the live
 hearing, during the live hearing, or both prior to and during the live hearing.]; and
- Abraham Lincoln University will take reasonable steps to prevent and address the
 parties' and their advisors' unauthorized disclosure of information and evidence
 obtained solely through the sex-based harassment grievance procedures.

Questioning the Parties and Witnesses

Abraham Lincoln University will provide a process that enables the decisionmaker to question parties and witnesses to adequately assess a party's or witness's credibility to the extent credibility is both in dispute and relevant to evaluating one or more allegations of sex-based harassment.

The university's process for proposing and asking relevant and not otherwise impermissible questions and follow-up questions of parties and witnesses, including questions challenging credibility, will:

- Allow the investigator or decisionmaker to ask such questions during individual meetings with a party or witness;
- Allow each party to propose such questions that the party wants asked of any party or
 witness and have those questions asked by the investigator or decisionmaker during one
 or more individual meetings, including follow-up meetings, with a party or witness,
 subject to the procedures for evaluating and limiting questions discussed below; and
- Provide each party with an audio or audiovisual recording or transcript with enough time for the party to have a reasonable opportunity to propose follow-up questions.]

Procedures for the decisionmaker to evaluate the questions and limitations on questions: The decisionmaker will determine whether a proposed question is relevant and not otherwise impermissible before the question is posed and will explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant or otherwise impermissible. Questions that are unclear or harassing of the party or witness being questioned will not be permitted. The decisionmaker will give a party an opportunity to clarify or revise a question that the decisionmaker determines is unclear or harassing. If the party sufficiently clarifies or revises the question, the question will be asked.

Refusal to respond to questions and inferences based on refusal to respond to questions: The decisionmaker may choose to place less or no weight upon statements by a party or witness who refuses to respond to questions deemed relevant and not impermissible. The decisionmaker will

not draw an inference about whether sex-based harassment occurred based solely on a party's or witness's refusal to respond to such questions.

Determination Whether Sex-Based Harassment Occurred

Following an investigation and evaluation of all relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence, Abraham Lincoln University will:

- Use the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof to determine whether sex discrimination occurred. The standard of proof requires the decisionmaker to evaluate relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence for its persuasiveness. If the decisionmaker is not persuaded under the applicable standard by the evidence that sex discrimination occurred, whatever the quantity of the evidence is, the decisionmaker will not determine that sex discrimination occurred.
- Notify the parties simultaneously in writing of the determination whether sex-based harassment occurred under Title IX including:
 - o A description of the alleged sex-based harassment;
 - o Information about the policies and procedures that Abraham Lincoln University used to evaluate the allegations;
 - o The decisionmaker's evaluation of the relevant and not otherwise impermissible evidence and determination whether sex-based harassment occurred;
 - When the decisionmaker finds that sex-based harassment occurred, any disciplinary sanctions Abraham Lincoln University will impose on the respondent, whether remedies other than the imposition of disciplinary sanctions will be provided by Abraham Lincoln University to the complainant, and, to the extent appropriate, other students identified by Abraham Lincoln University to be experiencing the effects of the sex-based harassment; and
 - The university's procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal.
- Abraham Lincoln University will not impose discipline on a respondent for sex
 discrimination prohibited by Title IX unless there is a determination at the conclusion of
 the Title IX grievance procedures that the respondent engaged in prohibited sex
 discrimination.
- If there is a determination that sex discrimination occurred, as appropriate, the Title IX Coordinator will:
 - Coordinate the provision and implementation of remedies to a complainant and other people Abraham Lincoln University identifies as having had equal access to The university's education program or activity limited or denied by sex discrimination;
 - Coordinate the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions on a respondent, including notification to the complainant of any such disciplinary sanctions; and
 - Take other appropriate prompt and effective steps to ensure that sex discrimination does not continue or recur within The university's education program or activity.

- Comply with the Title IX grievance procedures before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions against a respondent; and
- Not discipline a party, witness, or others participating in the Title IX grievance procedures for making a false statement or for engaging in consensual sexual conduct based solely on the determination whether sex discrimination occurred.

The determination regarding responsibility becomes final either on the date that Abraham Lincoln University provides the parties with the written determination of the result of any appeal, or, if no party appeals, the date on which an appeal would no longer be considered timely.

Appeals

Abraham Lincoln University will offer an appeal from a dismissal or determination whether sexbased harassment occurred on the following bases:

- Procedural irregularity that would change the outcome;
- New evidence that would change the outcome and that was not reasonably available when the determination or dismissal was made; and
- The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, or decisionmaker had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individual complainant or respondent that would change the outcome.

If a party appeals a dismissal or determination whether sex-based harassment occurred, Abraham Lincoln University will:

- Notify the parties in writing of any appeal, including notice of the allegations, if notice was not previously provided to the respondent;
- Implement appeal procedures equally for the parties;
- Ensure that the decisionmaker for the appeal did not take part in an investigation of the allegations or dismissal of the complaint;
- Ensure that the decisionmaker for the appeal has been trained consistent with the Title IX regulations;
- Communicate to the parties in writing that Abraham Lincoln University will provide the parties a reasonable and equal opportunity to make a statement in support of, or challenging, the outcome; and
- Notify the parties in writing of the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result.

Any additional procedures or bases for appeal Abraham Lincoln University offers will be equally available to all parties.

Supportive Measures:

Abraham Lincoln University will offer and coordinate supportive measures as appropriate for the complainant and/or respondent to restore or preserve that person's access to the university's

education program or activity or provide support during the university's Title IX grievance procedures. For complaints of sex-based harassment, these supportive measures may include, but are not limited to, counseling, extensions of deadlines or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, referral to medical or healthcare services, referral to community-based services, mutual restrictions on contact between the parties, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus, and other similar measures.

Disciplinary Sanctions and Remedies:

Following a determination that sex-based harassment occurred, Abraham Lincoln University may impose disciplinary sanctions.

ALU may consider a number of factors when determining a sanction and/or remedy and will focus primarily on actions aimed at ending, and preventing the recurrence of, discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation and the need to remedy the effects its effects on the Complainant and campus community. Sanctions will be imposed upon either expiration of the appeal window, or after a final determination on any appeal filed.

Sanctions or actions may include, but are not limited to, those listed below. These may be applied individually or in combination and ALU may assign sanctions not listed, as deemed appropriate.

Warning: A formal statement that the conduct was unacceptable and a warning that further violation of any ALU policy, procedure, or directive will result in more severe sanctions/responsive actions.

Required Counseling: A mandate to meet with and engage in either ALU-sponsored or external counseling to better comprehend the misconduct and its effects.

Probation: A written reprimand for violation of ALU policy, providing for more severe disciplinary sanctions in the event that the student or organization is found in violation of any university policy, procedure, or directive within a specified period of time. Terms of the probation will be articulated and may include denial of specified social privileges, exclusion from co-curricular activities, exclusion from designated areas of campus, no-contact orders, and/or other measures deemed appropriate.

Suspension: Termination of student status for a definite period of time not to exceed two years and/or until specific criteria are met. Students who return from suspension are automatically placed on probation through the remainder of their tenure as a student at ALU.

Expulsion: Permanent termination of student status and revocation of rights to be on premises for any reason or to attend ALU-sponsored events.

Withholding Diploma: The university may withhold a student's diploma for a specified period of time and/or deny a student participation in commencement activities if the student has an allegation pending or as a sanction if the student is found responsible for an alleged violation.

Sanctions or actions against Employees may include, but are not limited to, those listed below. These may be applied individually or in combination and ALU may assign sanctions not listed, as deemed appropriate.

Warning – Verbal or Written
Performance Improvement/Management Process
Required Counseling
Required Training or Education
Probation
Loss of Annual Pay Increase
Loss of Oversight or Supervisory Responsibility
Demotion
Suspension with pay
Suspension without pay

Other Actions: In addition to or in place of the above sanctions, the university may impose any other sanction as deemed appropriate.

Consolidated Complaints

Termination

If a Complaint involves one or more Complaint, Complainant and/or Respondent and allegations arising out of the same set of circumstances, the university may elect to consolidate complaints.

Time Limits on Reporting

There is no time limitation on making a report or Complaint. However, acting on reports or Complaints is significantly impacted by the passage of time and occurrence of other events (including, but not limited to, the rescission or any revision of this Policy), and is at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, who may, among other things, document allegations for future reference, offer supportive measures and/or Remedies, and/or engage in informal or formal action, as appropriate. Additionally, if the Respondent is no longer subject to the university's jurisdiction and/or significant time has passed, the ability to investigate, respond, and provide Remedies may be more limited or impossible.

Anonymous Report or Complaint

If a Complainant makes a report anonymously, it will be investigated by ALU to the extent possible, both to assess the underlying allegation(s) and to determine if supportive measures or Remedies can be provided. Anonymous reports typically limit the university's ability to investigate and respond, depending on what information is shared. In some situations, the Title IX Coordinator may proceed with the issuance of a complaint even when the Complainant's report has been made anonymously.

Confidentiality

The university shall undertake reasonable efforts to preserve the confidentiality of reports and Complaints. ALU shall not disclose any report or Complaint except as provided herein and as necessary to effectuate this Policy, or as permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232g; FERPA regulations, 34 CFR part 99, or as required under applicable law.

Certain professionals have a legal and/or ethical responsibility to maintain communications made in the scope of their professional roles in strict confidence.³ Some of these roles include licensed professional counselors/mental health providers, licensed medical and health care providers, victim advocates, ordained/licensed clergy, licensed attorneys and rape crisis or domestic violence resources. Complainants or Respondents wishing to speak to someone confidentially are encouraged to seek out such resources. Communications with such professionals are considered legally privileged and cannot be revealed without a party's express written consent.

Right to an Advisor

The parties have the right to select an advisor of their choice to accompany them to any or all meetings and interviews during the resolution process. There are no limits on who can serve as an advisor; it may be a friend, mentor, family member, attorney, or any other individual a party chooses to advise, support, and/or consult with them throughout the resolution process.

Conflict-of-Interest & Bias

Title IX Personnel are trained to ensure they have no conflict of interest and are not biased for or against any party in a specific case, or for or against Complainants and/or Respondents, generally. To raise any concern involving bias, conflict of interest or other misconduct by any Title IX Personnel contact ALU's President, Dr. Leslie Gargiulo, lgargiulo@alu.edu, to report your concern.

Resolution Timeline

ALU will make a good faith effort to complete the resolution process fairly and promptly. Duration of a matter that proceeds through the grievance process is determined by many factors including, but not limited to, the cooperation and availability of the parties and witnesses, potential concurrent criminal investigations, and any university closures, among others. ALU will avoid all undue delays within its control and will grant reasonable extensions of time, upon written request and showing of good cause, by a party. The university shall provide the parties written notice of delays and/or extensions, including appeal, which can be extended as necessary for appropriate cause by the Title IX Coordinator, who will provide notice and rationale for any extensions or delays to the parties as appropriate, as well as an estimate of how much additional time will be needed to complete the process.

³ In highly limited circumstances, such as when presenting an immediate threat or danger to another, or when subject to a court order, confidential communications may be revealed without the consent of a party.

Method of Notifications to Parties

All notifications to the parties noted herein will be made by made by one or more of the following methods: in person, mailed to the local or permanent address of the parties as indicated in official university records, or emailed to the parties' ALU-issued email or otherwise approved account. Once mailed, e-mailed and/or received in-person, notice will be presumptively delivered

Clery Act Reporting

Reports or complaints of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and/or stalking that pose a serious or continuing threat of bodily harm or danger to members of the campus community may trigger a timely warning obligation by the university under the Clery Act. If a warning is deemed necessary, the university will ensure that a Complainant's name and other identifying information is not disclosed, while still providing enough information for community members to make safety decisions in light of the potential danger.

In addition, ALU is obligated to report and disclose crimes under the Clery Act, including the crimes of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking as defined in this Policy, for statistical reporting purposes only. Reports of these crimes do not include personally identifiable information and therefore preserves the confidentiality of any involved parties.

Retaliation

The university prohibits retaliation under this Policy. Retaliation may include intimidating, threatening, coercing, harassing, or discriminating against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by law or policy, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this Policy and procedure. Alleged retaliation should be reported immediately to the Title IX Coordinator and will be promptly investigated. ALU will take appropriate action to protect individuals who fear that they may be subjected to retaliation.

Charging an individual with a code of conduct violation for making a materially false statement in bad faith in the course of a grievance proceeding under this Policy and procedure does not constitute retaliation. Relatedly, a determination of responsibility, alone, is not sufficient to conclude that any party has made a materially false statement in bad faith.

The University's Mandatory Obligation to Report

ALU's mandatory response obligations under this Policy arise when ALU has knowledge of conduct that may constitute sexual discrimination, sex-based harassment, or retaliation, as defined herein. Non-confidential employees who (1) have the authority to take corrective action, (2) hold administrative leadership responsibilities, or (3) hold teaching or advising responsibilities must notify the Title IX Coordinator when they become aware of conduct that constitutes sexual discrimination, sex-based harassment, or retaliation. In these cases, ALU must act to stop, remedy and prevent future recurrence of prohibited conduct through application of this Policy.

Notice, Dissemination and Publication of Policy

Title IX requires ALU to notify applicants for admission or employment as well as students, employees, and, if applicable, unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining of collective agreements with the university, of this Policy. ALU complies with Title IX's notice requirements, including prominently publishing its Non-Discrimination & Grievance Process Policy and contact information for the Title IX Coordinator on its website and in other publications.

Jurisdiction of the University

If the Respondent is unknown or is not a member of the ALU community, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the Complainant as requested in identifying appropriate campus and local resources and support options and/or, when criminal conduct is alleged, in contacting local law enforcement to file a police report upon request.

Further, even when the Respondent is not a member of the ALU community, supportive measures, remedies, and resources may be accessible to the Complainant by contacting the Title IX Coordinator. In addition, the university may take other actions as appropriate to protect the Complainant against third parties, such as barring individuals from university premises and/or events. All vendors serving the university through third-party contracts are subject to the policies and procedures of their employers, or if applicable, to these policies and procedures to which their employer has agreed to be bound by their contracts.

Scope of the University's Educational Program and Activities

For purposes of this Policy, ALU's educational program or activities includes locations, events, or circumstances, within the U.S., where the university exercises substantial control over both the Respondent and the context in which the alleged sexual harassment or discrimination occurs and also includes any building owned or controlled by the university or by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university. It also includes online, and cyber manifestations of conduct prohibited by this Policy, when those behaviors occur in or have an effect on ALU's education program and activities or use the university networks, technology, or equipment.

Concurrent Law Enforcement Investigation or Criminal Proceedings

ALU's grievance process is an administrative procedure required under Title IX to address sexual discrimination, and therefore is separate and independent of any law enforcement investigation or criminal proceedings. While a law enforcement investigation may necessitate a temporary delay in the university's grievance process, such law enforcement investigation does not replace ALU's grievance process, as the university is legally obligated to address and remedy potential sexual harassment in its educational programs and activities as set forth in this Policy.

False Allegations and Evidence

Making deliberately false and/or malicious accusations, knowingly providing false evidence, tampering with or destroying evidence, or deliberately misleading an official conducting an investigation are policy violations subject to discipline.

Failure to Comply with Disciplinary Sanctions or other Remedies

Respondents are expected to comply with any disciplinary sanction or remedy as set forth in the determination or in response to a final outcome. Failure to abide by the sanction(s)/action(s) whether by refusal, neglect, or any other reason, may result in additional disciplinary action, including suspension and expulsion.

Record Retention

ALU shall maintain the following records related to the implementation of this Policy for at least seven years:

- 1. Reports or complaints alleging sexual discrimination, including sex-based harassment.
- 2. Records of any dismissal of a complaint.
- 3. Each sexual harassment investigation including any determination regarding responsibility and any audio or audiovisual recording or transcript required under federal regulation;
- 4. Any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the Respondent;
- 5. Any Remedies implemented by the university designed to restore or preserve equal access to ALU's education program or activity;
- 6. Any appeal and the result therefrom;
- 7. Any Informal Resolution and the result therefrom;
- 8. All materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, Investigators, Decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an Informal Resolution process. ALU will make these training materials publicly available on the university's website; and
- 9. Any actions, including any supportive measures, taken in response to a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment, including:
 - a. The basis for all conclusions that the response was not deliberately indifferent;
 - b. Any measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university's education program or activity; and
 - c. If no supportive measures were provided, document the reasons why such a response was not clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.

Revision of this Policy

This Policy and procedures supersede any previous policy(ies) addressing harassment, sexual misconduct, discrimination, and/or retaliation under Title IX and will be reviewed and updated subject to any relevant change in law or ALU policy, and on a recurring periodic basis, by the Title IX Coordinator. The university reserves the right to make changes to this Policy as necessary, which become effective when published.

This Policy will not restrict any rights guaranteed against government action by the U.S. Constitution and should be interpreted accordingly and should also be construed to comply with the most recent government regulations or applicable judicial decisions.

This document does not create legally enforceable protections beyond the protection of the background state and federal laws which frame such policies and codes, generally.

This Policy and procedures are effective August 1, 2024.

IV. Appendix A: Definitions

Section 106.2 of the 2024 amendments includes a number of definitions. When those defined terms are used in this Resource, they have the meaning set forth in § 106.2. The 2024 amendments do not require a recipient to incorporate these definitions into their policies or grievance procedures, but we include key definitions below that may inform a recipient's development of grievance procedures:

Complainant means:

- (1) A student or employee who is alleged to have been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX or its regulations; or
- (2) A person other than a student or employee who is alleged to have been subjected to conduct that could constitute sex discrimination under Title IX or its regulations and who was participating or attempting to participate in the recipient's education program or activity at the time of the alleged sex discrimination.

Complaint means an oral or written request to the recipient that objectively can be understood as a request for the recipient to investigate and make a determination about alleged discrimination under Title IX or its regulations.

Disciplinary sanctions means consequences imposed on a respondent following a determination under Title IX that the respondent violated the recipient's prohibition on sex discrimination.

Party means a complainant or respondent.

Relevant means related to the allegations of sex discrimination under investigation as part of these grievance procedures. Questions are relevant when they seek evidence that may aid in showing whether the alleged sex discrimination occurred, and evidence is relevant when it may aid a decisionmaker in determining whether the alleged sex discrimination occurred.

Remedies means measures provided, as appropriate, to a complainant or any other person the recipient identifies as having had their equal access to the recipient's education program or activity limited or denied by sex discrimination. These measures are provided to restore or preserve that person's access to the recipient's education program or activity after a recipient determines that sex discrimination occurred.

Respondent means a person who is alleged to have violated the recipient's prohibition on sex discrimination.

Retaliation means intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination against any person by the recipient, a student, or an employee or other person authorized by the recipient to provide aid, benefit, or service under the recipient's education program or activity, for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX or its regulations, or because the person has reported information, made a complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the Title IX regulations.

Sex-based harassment is a form of sex discrimination and means sexual harassment and other harassment on the basis of sex, including on the basis of sex stereotypes, sex characteristics, pregnancy or related conditions, sexual orientation, and gender identity, that is:

- (1) Quid pro quo harassment. An employee, agent, or other person authorized by the recipient to provide an aid, benefit, or service under the recipient's education program or activity explicitly or impliedly conditioning the provision of such an aid, benefit, or service on a person's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct;
- (2) Hostile environment harassment. Unwelcome sex-based conduct that, based on the totality of the circumstances, is subjectively and objectively offensive and is so severe or pervasive that it limits or denies a person's ability to participate in or benefit from the recipient's education program or activity (i.e., creates a hostile environment). Whether a hostile environment has been created is a fact-specific inquiry that includes consideration of the following:
 - (i) The degree to which the conduct affected the complainant's ability to access the recipient's education program or activity;
 - (ii) The type, frequency, and duration of the conduct;
 - (iii) The parties' ages, roles within the recipient's education program or activity, previous interactions, and other factors about each party that may be relevant to evaluating the effects of the conduct;
 - (iv) The location of the conduct and the context in which the conduct occurred; and
 - (v) Other sex-based harassment in the recipient's education program or activity; or
- (3) Specific offenses.
 - (i) Sexual assault meaning an offense classified as a forcible or nonforcible sex offense under the uniform crime reporting system of the Federal Bureau of Investigation;
 - (ii) Dating violence meaning violence committed by a person:
 - (A) Who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim; and
 - (B) Where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors:
 - (1) The length of the relationship;
 - (2) The type of relationship; and
 - (3) The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship;
- (iii) Domestic violence meaning felony or misdemeanor crimes committed by a person who:

- (A) Is a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim under the family or domestic violence laws of the jurisdiction of the recipient, or a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim;
- (B) Is cohabitating, or has cohabitated, with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner;
- (C) Shares a child in common with the victim; or
- (D) Commits acts against a youth or adult victim who is protected from those acts under the family or domestic violence laws of the jurisdiction; or
- (iv) Stalking meaning engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to:
 - (A) Fear for the person's safety or the safety of others; or
 - (B) Suffer substantial emotional distress.

Supportive measures means individualized measures offered as appropriate, as reasonably available, without unreasonably burdening a complainant or respondent, not for punitive or disciplinary reasons, and without fee or charge to the complainant or respondent to:

- (1) Restore or preserve that party's access to the recipient's education program or activity, including measures that are designed to protect the safety of the parties or the recipient's educational environment; or
- (2) Provide support during the recipient's grievance procedures or during an informal resolution process.